Sri G. B. SHANKARA RAO.—Sir, of these 22 clerks, how many have put in the maximum service in one and the same court ?

Sri VEERENDRA PATIL.—I have no information.

Sri G. B. SHANKARA RAO.—Sir, when are these clerks going to be transferred to mofussil courts ?

Sri VEERENDRA PATIL.—It is very difficult to answer that question. The proper authority to transfer is the District Judge and he is going to take action.

Sri G. B. SHANKARA RAO.—Whether there are special reasons to keep these clerks at the same post for more than five years ?

Mr. SPEAKER.—That is a different question altogether.

Dr. R. NAGAN GOWDA.—Sir, may I know whether the rule to transfer an individual officer at the end of three years exists today and if so whether it applies to these courts ?

Sri VEERENDRA PATIL.—There are no hard and fast rules in this connection. But the practice is not to continue the clerks and officers for more than five years at a place.

Equation of Posts and the Preparation of Seniority List.

Q.—84. Sri J. L. KABADI (Indi).—Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) whether it is a fact that the equations of posts of the officials coming from different areas have not been finalised yet ;

(*b*) if not, the reasons therefor ;

(*c*) whether the seniority list of all the officials has been finalised ;

(*d*) If not, when it is likely to be completed :

(*e*) whether the criteria laid down by the Government of India for fixation of seniority has been strictly followed ;

(*f*) whether any Government officials have represented for not adopting this criteria in fixing their seniority ;

(*g*) if so, how many ?

A.—Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA (Chief Minister).—

(*a*) Provisional equation of posts of Gazetted Officers of the following Departments have been notified :—

1. Assistant Commissioners/ Deputy Collectors
2. Under Secretaries
3. Class I—Civil Service—Non-I.A.S.
4. Animal Husbandry Services
5. Public Works Department
6. Forest Department
7. Commercial Taxes Department
8. Excise Department
9. D.S.Ps. and Deputy S.Ps.
10. District Judges
11. Kannada Translator
12. Information Department
13. Registration Department
14. Settlement and Land Records
15. Co-operation Department
16. Electrical Department
17. Statistics Department
18. Mamlatdars/Amildars/ Tahsildars/Subedars
19. Prisons Department
20. Public Health
21. Education (General)
22. Education (Tech.)
23. Social Welfare
24. Sericulture (Class I)
25. State Transport
26. Judicial Officer below the cadre of District Judges

Proposals regarding equation of posts of non-Gazetted Officers have been received from the following Departments :—

1. Marketing Department
2. Labour ,,
3. Statistics ,,
4. Social Welfare ,,
5. Prisons Department
6. Development ,,
7. Co-operation ,,
8. Weights and Measures

9. Animal Husbandry
10. Public Instruction
11. Legislature Secretariat
12. Archæology
13. Excise
14. Commercial Taxes
15. Police Department
16. Registration
17. Technical Education
18. Settlement and Land Records
19. Revenue
20. Printing and Stationery
21. Stamps
22. Forest
23. Public Works
24. Mysore Government Secretariat
25. Fisheries
26. Electricity
27. Medical Department

(*b*) In respect of non-Gazetted cadres and Gazetted Officers of other Departments, the material required for determining equation of posts is being collected.

(*c*) Not yet; Provisional Inter-State Seniority lists of the Gazetted Officers of the following Departments have been prepared :—

1. Assistant Commissioners/ Deputy Collectors
2. Under Secretaries
3. Class I—Civil Service—non-I.A.S.
4. Animal Husbandry Services
5. Public Works Department
6. Forest Department
7. Commercial Taxes
8. Excise Department
9. D.S.Ps. and Deputy S.Ps.
10. District Judges
11. Kannada Translator
12. Information
13. Registration and Stamps
14. Settlement and Land Records
15. Co-operation
16. Electrical
17. Statistics
18. Agriculture
19. Marketing
20. Prisons
21. Medical
22. Public Health
23. Education (General)
24. Education (Technical)
25. Social Welfare
26. Industries and Commerce
27. Sericulture (Class I Officers)
28. State Transport
29. Mamlatdars/Amildars/ Tahsildars/Subedars.

As regards non-Gazetted Officers, Provisional Inter-State Seniority list of Superintendents, First Division Clerks, Stenographers, II Division Clerks of Mysore Government Secretariat and non-Gazetted staff of Department of Archæology have been prepared.

(*d*) As early as possible.

(*e*) Yes.

(*f*) Yes. A number of representations to vary the criteria laid down by the Government of India have been received.

(*g*) As a number of representations areon behalf of groups, it is not possible to indicate the number of persons.

Sri J. L. KABADI.—What are the main principles taken into consideration while fixing the seniority ?

Sri M. P. PATIL.—The principles are, period of service in a particular grade, the pay scale and age.

Sri J. L. KABADI.—If the date of entry into service and not efficiency is taken into consideration, will it not harass an efficient servant irrespective of the date of entry into service ?

Sri M. P. PATIL.—I did not say 'date of entry into service'. I said 'period of service' in a particular grade.

Sri J. L. KABADI.—By taking the date of entry into consideration, while fixing seniority, will it not amount to ignoring the efficiency, integrity, etc. ?

Sri M. P. PATIL.—Sir, the factor of efficiency is already there in the administration. This question is about equation of posts and fixing the Inter-State seniority between officers of the integrating parts. There the Government of India has given instruction about the factors which may be taken into consideration in fixing the seniority. I have mentioned these factors just now.

Sri G. SIVAPPA.—Sir, is it not a fact that there is an impression that some injustice is done and that old Mysore officials are let down?

Mr. SPEAKER.—There are two questions in that. Please ask one question.

Sri G. SIVAPPA.—Is it not a fact that there is an impression in the public that officers of old Mysore State are let down?

Sri M. P. PATIL.—There is no question of the impression of the public. It is a question of the impression of the officers. As far as I know, it is not only old Mysore State officer, but every body thinks that he is let down.

Sri G. ANNA RAO.—May I know, Sir, that while fixing the equation, whether the Government have borne in mind the promotion opportunities that were available to the employees under the conditions of Service Rules that existed in the parent State?

Sri M. P. PATIL.—They are taken into consideration.

Sri G. VENKATAI GOWDA.—Has it come to the notice of the Government that in the several seniority lists that have already been published, the date of entry and the number of years of service are not mentioned?

Sri M. P. PATIL.—The seniority list of the Departments are not published as yet. The tentative seniority lists have been approved and they are published already in the gazette.

Sri G. VENKATAI GOWDA.—My question is: in these lists that are already published, the date of entry into service and the number of years of service that an employee has put in have not been mentioned.

Sri M. P. PATIL.—I do not know what facts are mentioned in the Gazette as such. But while fixing seniority, all these factors are taken into consideration and they are on the record of the Government.

1-30 P.M.

Sri M. C. NARASIMHAN.—Supplementary to (*e*): Has it come to the notice of Government that, so far as the Labour Department is concerned, the provisional list does not really implement the criteria laid down by the Government of India?

Sri M. P. PATIL.—No.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಎಫ್. ಪಾಟೀಲ್.—ಒಂದೇ ಡೆಸಿಗ್ನೇಷನ್ ಇದ್ದು ಬೇರೆ ಬೇರೆ ಭಾಗಗಳಿಂದ ಬಂದವರನ್ನು equation ಮಾಡುವಾಗ ಸರ್ವೆ ಸಾಮಾನ್ಯವಾಗಿ ಒಬ್ಬ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಯು ನಿರ್ವಹಿಸುತ್ತಿದ್ದ ಅಧಿಕಾರಕ್ಷೇತ್ರ, ಅಧಿಕಾರದ ಪರಿಮಿತಿ ಮತ್ತು ನಂಬಳ—ಇವು ಮೂರನ್ನೂ ಲಕ್ಷ್ಯದಲ್ಲಿಟ್ಟುಕೊಂಡು equation ಮಾಡುತ್ತೀರಲ್ಲವೇ?

Sri M. P. PATIL.—Yes, Sir.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಜಿ. ನರಸಿಂಹೇಗೌಡ.—(*e*) ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗೆ ಉತ್ತರವಾಗಿ ಇನ್ನೂ ಸೀನಿಯಾರಿಟಿ ಲಿಸ್ಟ್‌ನ್ನು ಆಬ್ಯೆರ್ ಮಾಡಿಲ್ಲ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದೀರಿ. ಹಾಗಿದ್ದಮೇಲೆ ತಾತ್ಕಾಲಿಕವಾಗಿ ಸೀನಿಯಾರಿಟಿ ಪಟ್ಟಿಯನ್ನು ಕಡೆಗಣಿಸಿ ಪಟ್ಟಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆಳಗಿದ್ದಂಥ ಅಸಿಸ್ಟೆಂಟ್ ಕಮಿಷನರ್ ಒಬ್ಬರನ್ನು ಡೆಪ್ಯುಟಿ ಕಮಿಷನರಾಗಿ ಪ್ರಮೋಷ್ ಮಾಡಿ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದ್ದೀರೆ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಪಿ. ಪಾಟೀಲ್.—ಹಾಗೆ ಮಾಡಿಲ್ಲ. That presumption is wrong.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಜಿ. ನರಸಿಂಹೇಗೌಡ.—ಹಾಗೆ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದರೆ ಈಗೇನು ಮಾಡುತ್ತೀರಿ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಪಿ. ಪಾಟೀಲ್.—ನೀವು ಜನರಲ್ಲಾಗಿ ಹೇಳುತ್ತಿದ್ದೀರಿ. ನನ್ನ ಲಕ್ಷ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ ತಂದರೆ ವಿಚಾರಿಸುತ್ತೇನೆ. ಪ್ರಮೋಷ್ ಕೊಡುವ ಕಾಲದಲ್ಲಿ ಸೀನಿಯಾರಿಟಿ ಮಾತ್ರ ನೋಡುವುದಿಲ್ಲ; ಅವರ merits ಮತ್ತು confidential reportಗಳನ್ನು ಕೂಡ ನೋಡಬೇಕಾಗುತ್ತದೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಜಿ. ನರಸಿಂಹೇಗೌಡ.—ನನ್ನ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ general ಆಗಿದೆಯೆಂದು ತಾವು ಹೇಳುತ್ತಿದ್ದೀರಿ. ಸೀನಿಯಾರಿಟಿ ಲಿಸ್ಟ್ ಪ್ರಕಾರ 11ನೆಯ ಸ್ಥಾನದಲ್ಲಿದ್ದ ವರೊಬ್ಬರನ್ನು ಪ್ರಮೋಷ್ ಕೊಟ್ಟು ಚಿತ್ರದುರ್ಗ ಡೆಪ್ಯುಟಿ ಕಮಿಷನರಾಗಿ ನೇಮಿಸಿರುವುದು ತಪ್ಪಲ್ಲವೇ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಪಿ. ಪಾಟೀಲ್.—ಸೀನಿಯಾರಿಟಿ ಲಿಸ್ಟ್ ಯಾವುದು ಎಂಬುದು ನನಗೆ ಗೊತ್ತಿಲ್ಲ. ನೋಟೀಸ್ ಬೇಕು. Since the list has been prepared for a number of departments, it is only in the case of a few departments which are mentioned here that the tentative seniority list is not prepared as yet.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಜಿ. ನರಸಿಂಹೇಗೌಡ.—ಸೀನಿಯಾರಿಟಿ ಲಿಸ್ಟನ್ನು ರಿವೈಸ್ ಮಾಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಸರ್ಕಾರಕ್ಕೆ ಮನವಿಗಳು ಬಂದಿದ್ದರೂ ಇದುವರೆಗೆ ನಿಧಾನ ಮಾಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಕಾರಣವೇನು ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಪಿ. ಪಾಟೀಲ್.—ಸೀನಿಯಾರಿಟಿ ಲಿಸ್ಟ್ ಮಾಡಲ್ಪಟ್ಟಿದೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಜಿ. ನರಸಿಂಹೇಗೌಡ.—ಸೀನಿಯಾರಿಟಿ ಲಿಸ್ಟನ್ನು ಪುನಃ ಪರಿಶೀಲನೆಯ ಕಮಿಟಿ ಯಾವುದು ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಪಿ. ಪಾಟೀಲ್.—ಉತ್ತರದಲ್ಲೇ ಹೇಳಿದ್ದೇನೆ.

Sri J. B. MALLARADHYA.—What is it that the Government of Mysore has to do with the directive from the Government of India in the matter of fixing the seniority of officials ? Is it a subject of the Centre or is it a matter within the purview of the State ?

Sri M. P. PATIL.—There is no question of the Mysore Government approaching the Central Government. At the time of reorganisation there were conferences of the representatives of the State Governments which were reorganised and the Central Government and in those conferences these questions were discussed and based on those discussions the Government of India had issued instructions to the respective States to follow the principles laid down by them.

Sri J. B. MALLARADHYA.—Is it not now clear to the Government of Mysore that those criteria prescribed by the Government of India which are at least in the nature of suggestions have proved very ineffective and have led to a lot of discontent in the services ?

Sri M. P. PATIL.—No.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಜಿ. ನರಸಿಂಹೇಗೌಡ.—1957ನೆಯ ಜನವರಿ 28ನೆಯ ತಾರೀಖಿನ ಗೆಜೆಟ್ಟಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಫಾಯಿಷ್ ಮಾಡಿರುವ ಸೀನಿಯಾರಿಟಿ ಲಿಸ್ಟ್‌ನ್ನು ತಾವು ಪರಿಗಣಿಸುತ್ತೀರಾ ಅಥವಾ ಲಿಸ್ಟ್ ರಿವೈಸ್ ಮಾಡಿದಮೇಲೆ ಮಾಡುವ ಪಟ್ಟಿಯನ್ನು ಪರಿಗಣಿಸುತ್ತೀರಾ ?

Sri M. P. PATIL.—I do not know which list the Hon'ble Member is referring to. I can say this much that the tentative seniority list has been published in the Gazette and that tentative seniority list is followed by the Government,

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಜಿ. ನರಸಿಂಹೇಗೌಡ.—ಸೀನಿಯಾರಿಟಿ ಲಿಸ್ಟನ್ನು ರಿವೈಸ್ ಮಾಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಮುಂಚೆಯೇ ಈ ರೀತಿಮಾಡಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ಅನೇಕ ಅಸಿಸ್ಟೆಂಟ್ ಕಮಿಷನರುಗಳಿಗೆ ಅನ್ಯಾಯವಾಗಿರುವುದು ತಮ್ಮ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದಿದೆಯೇ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಪಿ. ಪಾಟೀಲ್.—ಇಲ್ಲ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಜಿ. ನರಸಿಂಹೇಗೌಡ.—ಹಾಗೆ ಆಗಿರುವುದನ್ನು ತಮ್ಮ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ತಂದರೆ ಏನು ಕಾರ್ಯಕ್ರಮ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತೀರಿ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಪಿ. ಪಾಟೀಲ್.—ನನ್ನ ಲಕ್ಷ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದಿಲ್ಲ ಎಂದ ಮೇಲೆ ಕಾರ್ಯಕ್ರಮ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳುವ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಯಲ್ಲ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಜಿ. ನರಸಿಂಹೇಗೌಡ.—ಬರವಣಿಗೆಯಲ್ಲ ಕೊಟ್ಟರೆ ಪರಿಶೀಲನೆ ಮಾಡುತ್ತೀರಾ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಪಿ. ಪಾಟೀಲ್.—ಯಾವುದಾದರೂ ಹೇಳಿದರೆ ವಿಚಾರಿಸುತ್ತೇವೆ.

Sri J. B. MALLARADHYA.—In answer tom y question whether following the criteria prescribed by the Government of India has not led to discontent on the part of the officials the Minister was pleased to say. 'No'! Is it not clear from the answer to clause (*f*) 'that they are dissatisfied ?

Sri M. P. PATIL.—I did not say 'there is no discontent'; I said there is no injustice'.

ಶ್ರೀ ಜೆ. ಬಿ. ಮಲ್ಲಾರಾಧ್ಯ.—ಕೇಂದ್ರ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ತಮಗೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟ ಆದೇಶವನ್ನು ಅನುಸರಿಸಿದ್ದರಲ್ಲಿ ಅನೇಕ ನೌಕರರಿಗೆ ಅತೃಪ್ತಿಯುಂಟಾಯಿತೇ ಇಲ್ಲವೇ ಎಂದು ಕೇಳಿದ್ದಕ್ಕೆ 'ಇಲ್ಲ' ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿದರಿ. ಅನೇಕ ಜನ ಹೀಗೆ ಮನವಿ ಮಾಡಿ ಕೊಂಡಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ಅತೃಪ್ತಿಯೇ ಕಾರಣವೆಂದು ಭಾವಿಸಬಹುದಲ್ಲವೇ ಎಂದು ನಾನು ಕೇಳುವುದು ?

Sri M. P. PATIL.—On the other hand, many of the officers are under the impression that they are let down. I have not said that there is no discontent. What I have said was that there was no injustice.

Sri M. C. NARASIMHAN.—What does the Government propose to do in respect of those representations which seek to vary the criteria laid down by the Government of India in respect of this matter?

Sri M. P. PATIL.—The Committee will examine the whole question and submit them to the Government. The Government will decide this question finally.

Dr. R. NAGAN GOWDA.—Have the Government received representations from the Department of Co-operation in Bellary that the equation of posts that they have proposed in respect of them are unjust and not proper and that their work as Junior Inspectors has been overlooked in fixing their seniority ?

Sri M. P. PATIL.—I am not in a position to say whether representations have been received from any particular department or any particular place. Possibly representations might have been received from that department as they have been received from other parts of the State.

Sri G. B. SHANKARA RAO.—May I know whether the Gazetted Officers of the Public Health Department of the former Hyderabad State have been equated with the Gazetted Officers of the Public Health Department of the Mysore State ?

Sri M. P. PATIL.—I cannot give any reply about particular cases.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಎಂ. ಪಾಟೀಲ್.—ಸೀನಿಯಾರಿಟಿ ಲಿಸ್ಟನ್ನು ಯಾವ ಆಧಾರದ ಮೇಲೆ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದೀರಿ ?

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—ಇಷ್ಟು ಹೊತ್ತೂ ಅದನ್ನೇ ಹೇಳಿದ್ದು.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಎಫ್. ಪಾಟೀಲ್.—ಕೇಂದ್ರ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಯಾವ criteria ಅನುಸರಿಸಬೇಕೆಂದು ಈ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದ್ದಾರೋ, ಆ criteria ಬಹಳ ಯೋಗ್ಯವಾಗಿದೆಯೆಂದು ತಾವು ಒಪ್ಪಿಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದೀರಾ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಪಿ. ಪಾಟೀಲ್.—ಸಾಮಾನ್ಯವಾಗಿ ಯೋಗ್ಯವಾಗಿದೆಯೆಂದು ಒಪ್ಪಿಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದೇವೆ. ಒಂದು ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಯನ್ನು ಮಾತ್ರ ಒಪ್ಪಿ ತೀರ್ಮಾನ ತೆಗೆದು ಕೊಂಡಿಲ್ಲ. ಅದು Pay Scales ವಿಚಾರ.

Sri S. D. KOTHAVALE.—On the eve of reorganisation it is learnt that some accelerated promotions were given so that the servants concerned might get some advantage. Is this fact taken into account while fixing the seniority ?

Sri M. P. PATIL.—That does not apply to old Mysore only but to all the parts of the State. The Government of India has taken into consideration that question and has issued instructions in such cases also.

Sri G. ANNA RAO.—May I know while equating the posts, whether a N.G.O. who was eligible for promotion to a gazetted cadre in his parent State would have such an opportunity available to him in the new State according to the present criteria ?

Sri M. P. PATIL.—Government have mentioned four or five factors and all these factors will have to be taken into account and the factor mentioned by the Hon'ble Member is one of them.

Sri G. VENKATAI GOWDA.—In view of the various representations made in this behalf, will the Government propose to request a High Court Judge to go into the question and see that nothing untoward happens in this respect ?

Sri M. P. PATIL.—I cannot understand how a High Court Judge is concerned with this. It is the Government which is concerned ; Government have appointed a Committee. The Committee will examine the representations and the help of the Government of India officers also is taken in this connection. Their recommendations will be submitted to Government and Government will decide these cases finally.

Dr. R. NAGAN GOWDA.—In answer to a previous question, the Hon'ble Minister said that he was not aware. The question was that Gazetted Officers from Madras had not been equated with Gazetted Officers in Mysore. Has there been a representation from the Public Health Department ?

Sri M. P. PATIL.—I said possibly representations might have been received. How can I know that a particular officer has represented or not ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಟಿ. ಎಚ್. ಮೂಡಲಗಿರಿಗೌಡ.—ಸಮಿತಿ ಸದಸ್ಯರು ಯಾರು ಯಾರು ? ಯಾವ ಯಾವ ಇಲಾಖೆಯವರು ?

Sri M. P. PATIL.—Chief Secretary and Secretaries of certain departments. I am not in a position to say which Secretaries of which departments are included in the Committee.

ಶ್ರೀ ಟಿ. ಎನ್. ಮೂಡಲಗಿರಿಗೌಡ.—ಈ ಸಮಿತಿಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರು ಈ ಸಮಸ್ಯೆಯನ್ನು ಸರಿಯಾಗಿ ಬಗೆಹರಿಸಲು ಸಾಧ್ಯವೆ ?

Sri M. P. PATIL.—After all, theirs is not the final decision. They have to consider these representations and submit them to the Government with their recommendations and Government is the final authority and Government will decide.

ಶ್ರೀ ಡಿ. ಟಿ. ಸೀತಾರಾಮರಾವ್.—(e) ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಯಲ್ಲಿ "ಸೀನಿಯಾರಿಟಿಯನ್ನು ಗೊತ್ತುಮಾಡುವುದರಲ್ಲಿ ಭಾರತ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ವಿಧಿಸಿರುವ ಅಂಶಗಳನ್ನು ಕಟ್ಟುನಿಟ್ಟಾಗಿ ಅನುಸರಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆಯೇ" ಎಂದು ಕೇಳಿರುವುದಕ್ಕೆ "ಹೌದು" ಎಂದು ಉತ್ತರ ಕೊಡಲಾಗಿದೆ. ಆ ಅಂಶಗಳು ಯಾವುವು ?

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—ಅದಕ್ಕೆ ಆಗಲೇ ಉತ್ತರ ಹೇಳಿದರು.

ಶ್ರೀ ಟಿ. ಎಚ್. ಮೂಡಲಗಿರಿಗೌಡ.—ಈ ವಿಚಾರವನ್ನು ಪರಿಶೀಲನೆ ಮಾಡುವಾಗ ಸಮಿತಿಯವರು ಯಾವ್ಯಾವ ಕ್ರಮಗಳನ್ನು ಅನುಸರಿಸುತ್ತಿದ್ದಾರೆ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಪಿ. ಪಾಟೀಲ್.—ಇಂಡಿಯಾ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಇಕ್ವಿಪ್ಮೆಂಟ್ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಆ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಪರಿಶೀಲಿಸಲ್ಪಡುತ್ತದೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಟಿ. ಎಚ್. ಮೂಡಲಗಿರಿಗೌಡ.—ಇಂಡಿಯಾ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಸೂಚಿಸಿರುವುದಲ್ಲದೆ ಇನ್ನೇನಾದರೂ ಬೇರೆ ಕ್ರಮ ಅನುಸರಿಸಿದ್ದಾರೆಯೇ?

Sri M. P. PATIL.—As far as I know, there is no deviation. Suppose there is any deviation in any particular case, the Government will examine it. Government have not yet taken a decision and they have not received the recommendations. After the recommendations of the Committee are received, Government will decide and if there is any deviation, that will be considered by the Government.

Sri M. N. NAGHNOOR.—Is it not a fact that the northern Karnatak officials have got greater powers and greater position as compared to Mysore officials?

Sri M. P. PATIL.—That is the view of some of the officers from the Bombay area.

Sri D. DEVARAJ URS.—Is it not a fact that some of the members of this Committee are the very persons who are responsible for preparing the provisional seniority list of officers?

Sri M. P. PATIL.—Some of them are members of the Committee.

Sri D. DEVARAJ URS.—In view of the answer given, do the Government feel that these very persons who are responsible for the provisional seniority list will do justice in the existing Committee on the representations made by several officers?

Sri M. P. PATIL.—The members of the Committee are not the final authority. The members are there; in addition, the help of the Government of India officers also is taken. They are also sitting in the Committee. After all, the Committee is going to submit recommendations to Government and Government is going to Consider every question and decide.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎ. ಜೆ. ಹೊಡ್ಡಮೇಟಿ.—ಈ ವಿಚಾರವನ್ನು ಪರಿಶೀಲನೆ ಮಾಡಲು ಒಂದು ಸಮಿತಿ ನೇಮಕವಾಗಿದೆ, ಸಮಿತಿ ಸದಸ್ಯರ ತಪಶೀಲು ಹೇಳುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಈಗ ಸಾಧ್ಯವಿಲ್ಲವೆಂದು ಶ್ರೀ ಮೂಡಲಗಿರಿಗೌಡರ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗೆ ಉತ್ತರವಾಗಿ ಹೇಳಿದರು. ಆ ಸಮಿತಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಹಳೆಯ ಮೈಸೂರಿನವರು, ಹೊಸ ಮೈಸೂರಿನವರು ಇಬ್ಬರೂ ಇದ್ದಾರೆಯೆ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಪಿ. ಪಾಟೀಲ್.—ಆ ಸಮಾಚಾರ ತರಿಸಿ ಒದಗಿಸಬೇಕಾಗಿದೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಎಕ್. ಬೋರಣ್ಣಗೌಡ.—ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಇದು ಆಖ್ಯೆರು ಪಟ್ಟಿಯಲ್ಲ, ಮತ್ತೆ ನಾವು ನೋಡುತ್ತೇವೆಂದು ಹೇಳಿದರು. ತಪಶೀಲಾಗಿ ನೋಡುತ್ತಾರೋ ಅಥವಾ ಶಿಫಾರಸಿನ ಮೇರೆ ನೋಡುತ್ತಾರೋ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಪಿ. ಪಾಟೀಲ್.—ತಪಶೀಲಾಗಿ ನೋಡುತ್ತಾರೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಟಿ. ಹನುಮಯ್ಯ.—ನಾರ್ತ್-ಗೆಜೆಟೆಡ್ ಆಫೀಸರುಗಳಲ್ಲಿ 75 ರೂಪಾಯಿಗಳ ಸಂಬಳದವರ ಕೈಕೆಳಗೆ 300 ರೂಪಾಯಿಗಳ ಸಂಬಳದವರಿದ್ದಾರೆಯೆ?

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು —ಆ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ ಇಲ್ಲಿ ಉದ್ಭವಿಸುವುದಿಲ್ಲ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಟಿ. ಹನುಮಯ್ಯ.—ಈಗ ಐದು ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನಗಳ ಭಾಗಗಳ ವಿಲೀನೀಕರಣವಾಗಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ಆಫೀಸರುಗಳಿಗೆ ಅತೃಪ್ತಿಯಾಗಿರುವುದು ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದಿದೆಯೆ?

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—ಅತೃಪ್ತಿಯಾಗಿದೆಯೆಂದು ತಿಳಿದು ಬಂದಿದೆ ಎಂದು ಅದಕ್ಕೆ ಉತ್ತರ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದಾರೆ.

Sri K. MALLAPPA.—May I know whether it is a fact that the officers from the State of Coorg have been placed under a disability on account of its small size?

Sri M. P. PATIL.—That is not the case. While equating the posts, all the factors have been taken into consideration.

Sri B. K. PUTTARAMIYA.—Where was the necessity for the Government to appoint this Committee?

Sri M. P. PATIL.—The Committee has to examine the representations. Notes have to be drawn; various points have to be pointed out to the Government. So all these preparations are to be made and Government have to consider them.

Charging of Excess Water Rate under Vani Vilas Sagar Atchkat.

Q.—210. Sri K. KENCHAPPA (Hiriyur).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) whether they are aware that owners of lands which are supplied with water of Vani Vilas Sagar Channels, cultivating paddy and sugarcane are being made to pay excess water rate at Re. 1 per acre and the cesses on this amount unauthorisedly between 1st February 1945 to 24th February 1947